


Q1 Rule Change #1: Sub-Gauge Carry-over Punches Current Rule V-A-2-e: “All punches earned in a shooter's current class in the immediate preceding target year will carry over into the current shoot year. Punches from two or more years ago will not carry over.” Proposed Change (Addition in Bold Italics): e. Carry-over punches 1) For 12-gauge classification: All punches earned in a shooter's current class in the immediate preceding target year will carry over into the current shoot year. Punches from two or more years ago will not carry over. 2) For sub-gauge classification: All punches earned in a shooter's current class in the immediate preceding two target years will carry over into the current shoot year. Punches from three or more years ago will not carry over. Do you approve of this proposal?


Answered: 131 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 82.44% | 108 |
| No | 17.56% | 23 |
| TOTAL | | 131 |

Q2 Rule Change #2: Sub-Gauge Classification Addition to Current Rule V-A-2-a (Addition to rule is in Bold Italics):**3) For sub-gauge classification: On the date that the current year’s NSCA All-American Team (based on the prior shoot year’s point race) is announced, all Open First, Second, and Third team members who have not obtained Master class sub-gauge classification will be automatically up-classed into Master class based on known ability. If the shooter does not make the All-American Open First, Second, or Third team the following year, they may request a down-class review in writing to the NSCA Assistant Director.** Do you approve of this proposal?


Answered: 131 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 81.68% | 107 |
| No | 18.32% | 24 |
| TOTAL | | 131 |

Q3 Rule Change #3: Self-Declaration Current Rule V-A-3-d: “A shooter may voluntarily declare into a class higher than assigned for either their 12-gauge or sub-gauge classification, or both; however, the shooter must stay in that higher class for the entire year, unless the shooter subsequently punches out of the self-declared class. This applies to all classes except for Master, which must be earned and not declared. When the shooter so elects, they must (at a registered shoot) have their classification card marked before competing in the event in the class for which they are declaring. Their card shall be marked with the new classification by self-declaration in the class where they declared, and be entered on the Official Entry Form with notation self-declared.” Proposed Change (Addition to rule is in Bold Italics): ***A shooter may voluntarily declare into a class higher than assigned for either their 12-gauge or sub-gauge classification, or both; however, the shooter must stay in that higher class for the entire year, unless the shooter subsequently punches out of the self-declared class. This applies to all classes except for Master, which must be earned and not declared. The only exception is for shooters who have a current 12-gauge classification of Master Class, who may self-declare to Master class for their sub-gauge classification. When the shooter so elects, they must (at a registered shoot) have their classification card marked before competing in the event in the class for which they are declaring. Their card shall be marked with the new classification by self-declaration in the class where they declared, and be entered on the Official Entry Form with notation self-declared.*** Do you approve of this proposal?

Answered: 131 Skipped: 0




NSCA Rule Changes Ballot

| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 78.63% | 103 |
| No | 21.37% | 28 |
| TOTAL | | 131 |

Q4 Rule Change #4: Classification Review Current Rule V-4-a: “A shooter's 12-gauge classification may be reviewed after each 1,000 registered targets in current class. Shooters must request such a review in writing. The 1,000-target review may be used to move a shooter down in their 12-gauge class.” Proposed Change (Addition to rule is in **Bold Italics**): A shooter's 12-gauge classification may be reviewed after each 1,000 registered targets in current class. Shooters must request such a review in writing. The 1,000-target review may be used to move a shooter down in their 12-gauge class. A shooter who has been reviewed down in class and then moves back up in class based on earned punches will only be allowed one (1) 1,000-target review down-class per shoot year. This does not include annual end-of-year down-class reviews, medical impairment reviews, or new shooter target reviews. (Example: AA class shooter gets reviewed down to A class in March of the current year, moves back up to AA class in August of the current year, requests a 1,000-target review in September of the current year to be moved back down to A class. Their request will be denied.) Do you approve of this proposal?


Answered: 131 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 96.95% | 127 |
| No | 3.05% | 4 |
| TOTAL | | 131 |

Q5 Rule Changes #5 A-B-C: Competition (Must vote on 3 separate changes) 5-A. Current Rule VII-E-3 - Call for Target: "Call for Target - The target must be launched immediately or with a delay up to three (3) seconds." Proposed Change (Addition to rule is in Bold Italics): Call for Target a. The target must be launched immediately or with a delay up to three (3) seconds. b. Shooter has 20 seconds to call for target after entering shooting stand and between shots. First shooter time starts at completion of viewing "show targets" and changing chokes, if needed. Warnings and penalties will be administered by Course Manager or Shoot Management. i. First violation = warning. ii. Second violation and each subsequent violation in the round = two (2) targets deducted from final score. Do you approve of this proposal?


Answered: 131 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 75.57% | 99 |
| No | 24.43% | 32 |
| TOTAL | | 131 |

Q6 Rule Change #5-B - Coaching Proposed Change to Rule VII (Addition to rule is in Bold Italics):**5. Coaching – During any World, National, U.S. Open or Regional Championship, once a shooter is in the shooting stand, the only communication allowed will be between the shooter and the Field Judge. Violations of this rule must be brought to the attention of Shoot Officials (i.e., Course Manager) to determine if and what disciplinary measures will be taken. Both the shooter and the individual(s) communicating with the shooter may be subject to disciplinary action. Do you approve of this proposal?**


Answered: 131 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 80.15% | 105 |
| No | 19.85% | 26 |
| TOTAL | | 131 |

Q7 Rule Change #5-C - Shooters Viewing Targets Current Rules II-A-7 and VII-F-3 Shooters Viewing Targets “The first person on every squad shall be allowed to view a good presentation of targets from within the shooting station. This is not limited to one pair. This person is the only person permitted to mount their unloaded gun and track the targets being viewed.” Proposed Change to Rule (Addition to rule is in Bold Italics): The first person on every squad shall be allowed to view a good presentation of targets from within the shooting station. At least one pair will be shown, but limited to no more than two pairs. This person is the only person permitted to mount their unloaded gun and track the targets being viewed. Do you approve of this proposal?

Answered: 131 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 92.37% | 121 |
| No | 7.63% | 10 |
| TOTAL | | 131 |


Q8 Rule Change #6 - State Championships Current Rule VI-B-3State Championship"An annual shoot held within each state, the location and dates of which are decided by the following process: Interested clubs (within the state) who are in good standing with the NSCA and the State Association (if one exists) should contact the State Association (if one exists), or the National Delegate(s). In the selection of the club for a State Championship, the State Association (if one exists) may apply their own process for that selection. The process must be in the State Association's By-Laws and those By-Laws must be approved by the NSCA. If a process does not exist, then Rule VI-B-3-a (below) must be followed.a. The State Association and/or National Delegate(s) should then take a vote of (1) State Association members (if one exists) or (2) All NSCA clubs in good standing for a decision.b. If the second method is used and the vote results in a tie, the National Delegate(s) shall cast a vote for the club they think should be awarded the tournament. This vote should break the tie; however, if there is still a tie, the Regional Executive Council members will be contacted. The Regional Executive Council members will in turn consult with the National Delegates Committee Chairman and, after discussing the situation; the Regional Executive Council members will cast a tie-breaking vote for the club they feel should host the state tournament."

Proposed Changes to Rule (Additions to rule are in Bold Italics):State ChampionshipAn annual shoot held within each state, the location and dates of which are decided by the following process: Interested clubs (within the state) who are in good standing with the NSCA and the State Association (if one exists) should contact the State Association (if one exists), or the National Delegate(s). In the selection of the club for a State Championship, the State Association (if one exists) may apply their own process for that selection. The process must be in the State Association's By-Laws and those By-Laws must be approved by the NSCA. If a process does not exist, then Rule VI-B-3-a (below) must be followed. a. The State Association and/or National Delegate(s) should then take a vote of (1) State Association members (if one exists) or (2) at the direction of the National Delegate(s), the NSCA will solicit bids from the eligible clubs in the state, send the bid forms to the National Delegates to review, then send the bids out with a voting ballot and deadline to all NSCA members in good standing who reside within the state, collect and count all

ballots, and notify the National Delegate(s) and bidding clubs of the results. b. If the second method is used and the vote results in a tie, the National Delegate(s) shall cast a vote for the club they think should be awarded the tournament. This vote should break the tie; however, if there is still a tie, the Regional Executive Council members will be contacted to review the bids. The Regional Executive Council members will then cast a tie-breaking vote for the club they feel should host the state tournament.”

Do you approve of this proposal?

Answered: 131 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Yes | 87.79% | 115 |
| No | 12.21% | 16 |
| TOTAL | | 131 |